OWNERTIAL PROTECTION	
San Marton	
FLORIDA	

**CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT** 



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	NNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVE	
AIRS ID#: 0251171 DATE FACILITY NAME: OLDO	E: <u>04/09/2010</u> CASTLE PRECAST-MEDLEY	ARRIVE: <u>09:15AM</u> Plant	DEPART: <u>10:15AM</u>
FACILITY LOCATION:	7311 NW 77TH ST MEDLEY 33166-2205		
OWNER/AUTHORIZED	<b>REPRESENTATIVE:</b> DAVI <b>D:</b> 4/23/2009 / 4/23/2014 (effective date) (end date)	ID PITERSKI PHONE PHONE	: (305)887-3527 :
PART I: <u>INSPECTION C</u>	OMPLIANCE STATUS (che		NT Non-COMPLIANCE
<ul> <li>(check ☑ appropriate b</li> <li>Stack Emissions <ol> <li>Were visible emission</li> <li>62-297, F.A.C.)?</li> <li>Are emissions from a controlled to the exter</li> <li>During visible emissions at a rate that is represent unless such rate is unless such rate is unless such rate is unless from to this question is "Y skip 4.a) and 4.b) and a) Was the batching b) During the visible duration?</li></ol></li></ul>	ons tests conducted during this s silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), ent necessary to limit visible en- ions tests of the silo dust collect sentative of the normal silo load nachievable in practice?	ite visit according to EPA Mer , and other enclosed storage an nissions to 5 percent opacity? tor exhaust points was the load ding rate, or at least at the mini- ration controlled by the silo du ons 4.a) and 4.b) below. If ans he visible emissions test? ng rate representative of the no- tion are controlled by a dust co s tests of the weigh hopper (ba	thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter \begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)
(check 🗹 appropriate box(es)
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) <ol> <li>Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)</li></ol>
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)
2. Did this facility demonstrate:
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date? [Yes ] No
<ul> <li>Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)</li> <li>3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Xest Complexity Complexity</li></ul>
<ul> <li>Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)</li> <li>4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? □Yes □ No</li> </ul>

## PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

(	check 🗹 appropri	iate box(es))						
1	I. Is this facility:	1) a stationary $\boxtimes$ ;	2) a relocatable	; or does it have:	3) both,	stationary a	and relocatable	e 🗌

	concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>Please check Zonly one box.</i> )
2	If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing

plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d),) below.)       □Yes □ No         a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?       □Yes □ No         b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per calendar year?       □Yes □ No         c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year?       □Yes □ No         d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less?       □Yes □ No         3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for:       □Yes □ No         a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis?       □Yes □ No         c) material processed on a monthly basis?       □Yes □ No	n m	is is a stationary concrete backing plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic initial process	mg	
<ul> <li>a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?</li> <li>b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per calendar year? Uses I No</li> <li>c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year? Yes No</li> <li>d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less? Yes No</li> <li>3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for:</li> <li>a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis? Yes No</li> </ul>	plan	nts using individual air general permits at the same location? (If your answer to this question is YES,		
<ul> <li>b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per calendar year? □Yes □ No</li> <li>c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year? □Yes □ No</li> <li>d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less? □Yes □ No</li> <li>3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for:</li> <li>a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis? □Yes □ No</li> </ul>	then	n proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d),) below.)	Yes No	
calendar year?       □Yes □ No         c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year?       □Yes □ No         d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less?       □Yes □ No         3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for:       □Yes □ No         a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis?       □Yes □ No			🗌 Yes 🗌 No	
<ul> <li>c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year? □Yes □No</li> <li>d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less?</li> <li>3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for: <ul> <li>a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis?</li> <li>b) U</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	b)	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per		
<ul> <li>d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less?  Yes Yes No</li> <li>3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for:</li> <li>a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis? Yes No</li> </ul>		calendar year?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No	
<ul> <li>3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for:</li> <li>a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis? Yes Yes Yes</li> </ul>	c)	Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No	
a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis? [Yes ] No	d)	Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less?	□Yes □ No	
	Doe	s the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for:		
b) material processed on a monthly basis? [Yes ] No	a)	fuel consumption on a monthly basis?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No	
	b)	material processed on a monthly basis?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No	
c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)? $\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No	c)	the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?	□Yes □ No	

## PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
  - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

	1)	paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Yes No
	2)	application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control
		emissions? Yes No
	3)	removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to
		re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? [Yes ] No
	4)	reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of
		particulate matter from stock piles? [Yes ] No
b)	use	e of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 🗌 Yes 🗌 No

## PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment?----- Yes b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?----- Yes Yes No c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?------ Yes No d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or local program office?-----

MARUFUL MALIK

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

04/09/2010

Date of Inspection

04/09/2011

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

**COMMENTS:** On April 09, 2010 I visited this facility to conduct the annual compliance inspection and to attend a visible emission test. On site I met Mr.Leonid Kaydash, the maintenance manager of the facility. The VE test was conducted by Mr.David Jone on the baghouse outlate servicing the precast plant. The silo was being loaded at 27.45 tons of cement at 10 PSI. I did not observe any visible emissions during the test. Also, I did not observe any fugitive particulate at the facility.